

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

V

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,437	06/23/2003	Paul C. Coffin	100202247-1	7975
22879	7590 08/10/2005		. EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPANY		FOX, CHA	ARLES A
	2400, 3404 E. HARMONY UAL PROPERTY ADMIN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	INS, CO 80527-2400		3652	
			DATE MAIL ED. 09/10/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 1/2 -
		10/601,437	COFFIN ET AL.	-
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•		Charles A. Fox	3652	
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	I .	,	ess
A SHORTENED S THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time mater SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s - If NO period for reply s - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 S from the mailing date of this communication. specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing tijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this com ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status		•		·
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on	_ ·		
2a)☐ This action	is FINAL 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this a	application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters,	, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in a	ccordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	i, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claim	ns			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-</i>	27 is/are pending in the application	,		
· · ·	above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	<u>5,8-14 and 17-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,</u>	7,15 and 16 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers				
	cation is objected to by the Examine	or .		
•	g(s) filed on <u>23 <i>June 2003</i> is/are:</u> a		to by the Evaminer	
	ay not request that any objection to the			
	nt drawing sheet(s) including the correct		. ,	1 121(d)
	declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	
		•		
Priority under 35 U.	•			
	gment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
] Some * c) ☐ None of:	a baya baan masiyad		
	fied copies of the priority document		ination No	
	fied copies of the priority document es of the certified copies of the prio	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		2000
	es of the certified copies of the prio cation from the International Burea		eived in this National Si	lage
	ched detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	eived	
230, 1110 alla	action of the delicition of the list	a. a.o coranga copico not rec		
Attachment(s)		 .		
1) Notice of Reference	s Cited (PTO-892) con's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date	
3) 🛛 Information Disclosu	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate <u>20030623</u> .	6) Other:		
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	i iv Office Ad	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	20050802

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "said gear rack on said carriage" in line 4.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears this claim should depend from claim 17 and not claim 12, but as that is not clear the claim can not be treated on the merits at this time. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,11 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grant et al. Regarding claim 1 Grant et al. US 4,891,720 discloses a cartridge handling device comprising:

a lift assembly (14) having at least one guide track (46,48) aligned along a first displacement path in a media storage area;

a carriage (38) having a transfer shaft (34) aligned along a second transfer path;

said transfer shaft operatively associated with said guide track for moveing said carriage along said guide track;

Art Unit: 3652

a picker (42) slidably connected to said carriage and moving therewith through said second and first displacement paths.

In regards to claim 2 Grant et al. further disclose the transfer shaft extends between two guide tracks.

Regarding claim 3 grant et al. also disclose the guide tracks as having a bearing race along their entire length (see figures 3A and 3B) with said transfer shafts being slidably mounted to said bearing race.

Regarding claim 11 Grant et al. also discloses that the first and second transfer paths are orthogonal.

Regarding claims 25-27 Grant discloses a media storage system comprising:

a first guide means for defining a first displacement path;

a second guide means for defining a second displacement path

said second guide means rotable engaging said first guide means for movement of said second guide means along said first displacement path;

cartridge engaging means for transporting a data cartridge;

said engaging means moving with said second guide means along said first displacement path;

said engaging means further movable along said second path defined by said second guides;

a drive means for moving said second guide means through said first displacement path;

Art Unit: 3652

a drive means for moving said engaging means along said second displacement path.

Claims 1-4,8,12-14,19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asakawa et al. In regards to claims 1-3 Asakawa et al. US 5,064,337 discloses a handling apparatus for a media storage area comprising:

a lift assembly having at least one guide track (21a,21b) aligned along a first displacement path in a media storage area;

a carriage (1) having a transfer shaft (17) aligned along a second transfer path;

said transfer shaft operatively associated with said guide track for moving said carriage along said guide track;

a picker (5) connected to said carriage and moving therewith through said second and first displacement paths;

a bearing race (22a,22b) extending along the length of each guide track with said transfer shaft slidably connected to said race.

Regarding claim 4 Asakawa et al. also disclose a drive motor (19) mounted on said carriage and operatively associated with said guide tracks for moving the carriage in said first path.

Regarding claim 8 Asakawa et al. also disclose the carriage as having a platform (1b) mounted in spaced apart relation to said transfer shaft, said platform supporting said picker on said carriage.

Regarding claim 12 Asakawa et al. disclose a handling device in a media storage system comprising:

Art Unit: 3652

a lift assembly having at least one guide track (21a,21b) aligned along a first displacement path in a media storage area;

a carriage (1) having a transfer shaft (17) aligned along a second transfer path;

said transfer shaft operatively associated with said guide track for moving said carriage along said guide track;

a picker (5) connected to said carriage and moving therewith through said second and first displacement paths;

a first drive motor (19) mounted on said carriage and operatively associated with said guide tracks for moving the carriage in said first path;

a second drive motor (7) operatively associated with said carriage for moving said picker along the second transfer path.

Regarding claims 13 and 14 Asakawa et al. further disclose at least one gear rack (21a,21b) and at least one pinion (16a,16b) all operative connected to said first motor for moving the lift along said first transfer path.

In regards to claim 19 Asakawa et al. also disclose the lift assembly as having at least one bearing race (22a,22b) aligned along said first displacement path and that said carriage has at least one bearing member (23a,23b) slidably engaging said race.

Regarding claims 23 and 24 Asakawa et al. also disclose the carriage as having a platform (1b) mounted in spaced apart relation to said transfer shaft, said platform supporting said picker on said carriage, said platform further having

Art Unit: 3652

a guide bearing (3a) mounted to said picker and engaging said platform via a bearing race (3b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa et al. as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Allen. Asakawa et al. teach the limitations of claim 12 as above, they do not teach a cable mechanism for storing cable. Allen US 5,726,866 teaches a device (102) with a shiftable platform having extendable and retractable cables (C), wherein said cable remain attached to said platform when extended and retract into a tray when said platform is not extended. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Asakawa et al. with an extendable cable as well as a place to store the cable when needed in order to control moving part of the device at all times and to protect the control lines at the same time.

Claims 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa et al. as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Grant et al. Asakawa et al. teach the limitations of claims 1 and 12 as above they further teach a guide bearing for running along the guide rails.

Page 7

Asakawa et al. do not teach a wheel mounted in said guide bearing. Grant et al. teach a set of guide races(46,48) which have a carriage slidably mounted thereon via a series of guide wheels (60,70). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the guide ways taught by Asakawa et al. with wheels as taught by Grant et al. in order to allow the guide to move easier by rolling, thereby allowing for a smaller drive motor to move the device.

Claims 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa et al. as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Thatcher. Asakawa et al. teach the limitations of claims 1 and 12 as above, they do not teach a second drive motor connected to the carriage. Thatcher teaches a manipulator comprising:

a pair of guide rails (4), including a first rack and pinion drive for moving a carriage (28) in a first displacement path;

a second drive means located on manipulator for moving said manipulator in a second displacement path along said carriage;

wherein said second drive means comprises a second drive motor and pinion cooperating with a rack mounted on said carriage. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Asakawa et al. with the drives as taught by Thatcher in order to provide a device that can move a manipulator to the proper location and control its actions in a precise manner.

Art Unit: 3652

Claim10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shapiro. Asakawa et al. teaches the limitations of claim 1 as above, they do not teach pivotal bearings. Shapiro US 6,041,988 teaches using a pivotal bearing (16) to maintain the bearing in contact with a guide as a carriage is move drelative to said guide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Asakawa et al. with a biased bearing as taught by Shapiro in order to allow the guide bearing to contact the guide at all times.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6,7,15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The zero pitch treading on the transfer shaft working in conjunction with a pinion to move the picker is not taught or suggested by the closest prior art of Asakawa et al.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon, but considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is: Hug et al. 1992, Yanagisawa 1995, Ostwald et al. 2001, Schinzel 2001, White 2001, Bolognia et al. 2002 and Dimitri et al. 2004.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-6923. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 571-272-6928. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-3600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

CAF

8-3-05

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600